

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,539 02	/24/2004	David Byron	12781.105802CIP	1539	
23469 7590	04/03/2006		EXAM	INER	
JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL, LLP			GORMAN, E	GORMAN, DARREN W	
190 Linden Oaks ROCHESTER, NY 14625-2812			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,539	BYRON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darren W. Gorman	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORITENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period is period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status iii		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
· —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	1.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ ÁII b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

1.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,539

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a sprayer apparatus, classified in class 239, subclass 569.
 - II. Claims 12-19, drawn to a sprayer system, classified in class 239, subclass 525.
 - III. Claims 20-24, drawn to sprayer system, classified in class 239, subclass 310.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the apparatus of claim 1 has a materially different design and is mutually exclusive from the apparatus of claim 12 because the apparatus of claim 1 does not specifically require the sprayer wand, the sprayer handle, the water tank, or the additive tank, as recited in claim 12, nor does the apparatus of claim 12 require the pressure chamber, the sealed vessel, or that the backflow valve include two one-way valves separated by a weep chamber, as recited in claim 1.
- 3. Inventions I and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use

Application/Control Number: 10/785,539 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the apparatus of claim 1 has a materially different design and is mutually exclusive from the apparatus of claim 20 because the apparatus of claim 1 does not specifically require the mixing chamber, the liquid additive tank, or the means for supplying a pressurized water stream, as recited in claim 20, nor does the apparatus of claim 20 require the pressure chamber, the sealed vessel, or that the backflow valve include two one-way valves separated by a weep chamber, as recited in claim 1.

- 4. Inventions II and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the apparatus of claim 12 has a materially different design and is mutually exclusive from the apparatus of claim 20 because the apparatus of claim 12 does not specifically require the mixing chamber or the means for supplying a pressurized water stream, as recited in claim 20, nor does the apparatus of claim 20 require the sprayer wand or the water tank, as recited in claim 12.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,539

Art Unit: 3752

Upon election of one of the above Inventions, Applicant is also required to elect one of the following distinct species:

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - A. The backflow valve shown in Figures 2 and 3
 - B. The backflow valve shown in Figures 4 and 5

The species are independent or distinct because the species shown in Figures 2 and 3 has a materially different design and is mutually exclusive from the species shown in Figures 4 and 5, because the species of Figures 2 and 3 includes a weep plunger, while the species of Figures 4 and 5 includes a weep diaphragm and a seal tube.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1, 12 and 20 are generic to the above-identified species, though claims 1, 12 and 20 are mutually exclusive from each other.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Art Unit: 3752

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3752

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darren W. Gorman whose telephone number is 571-272-4901. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darren W Gorman Examiner Art Unit 3752

March 23, 2006

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700